Course Title:	<b>Engineering Mathematics</b>
Code:	CSE111
Program:	Mathematics I
Department:	Computer Engineering
Institution:	ESIP
Academic Year:	2021/2022
Semester:	01
<b>Course Coordinator:</b>	Dr. Naziha DHIBI
Date:	13/02/2022

## **Table of Contents**

A. Course Identification	3
B. Course Delivery	3
1. Course Contact Hours (per semester)	3
2. Topics not Covered	3
3. Teaching Strategies	3
4. Activities/Assessment Methods	4
5. Verification of Credibility of Students' Results	4
6. Recommendations	4
C. Student Results	4
1. Distribution of Grades	4
2. Comment on Student Results	5
3.Recommendations	5
D. Course Learning Outcomes	5
1. Course Learning Outcomes Assessment Results	5
2. Recommendations	6
E. Course Quality Evaluation	6
1. Students Evaluation of the Quality of the Course	6
2. Other Evaluations	6
3.Recommendations:	7
F. Difficulties and Challenges	7
G. Course Improvement Plan	7
1. Course Improvement Actions	7
2. Action Plan for Next Semester/Year	8

### A. Course Identification

	o Instructor(s) Location		Number of	Number of Students			
No		Sections	Starting the	Completing			
			Sections	course	the course		
01	Dr. Okba Basdouri	Salle II2	1	16	16		

### **B.** Course Delivery

## **1. Course Contact Hours** (per semester)

No.	Activity	Planned	Actual
1	Lecture	30	30
2	Laboratory/Studio	-	-
3	Tutorial	15	15
4	Others(Specify)	-	-
	Total	45	45

## 2. Topics not Covered

Partie ma9Arrahomch et le raison et solution : nbre heure / partie bech ichofouha f autre matiere

Topics	Reason for Not Covering	Extent of their Impact on Learning Outcomes	Compensating Action*
None	None	None	None

<sup>\*</sup>Compensating actions already taken or suggested

## 3. Teaching Strategies

Planned Teaching Strategies	Were They Implemented?		Difficulties Experienced (if any)	Suggested Action	
	Yes	No	in Implementation		
			A little slow in	make your lesson	
lecturing	X		understanding	more impactful	
			the lesson	and engaging	
laboratory		X	-	no suggestion	
Class discussions	X		-	no suggestion	
Assignments	X		-	no suggestion	
Theoretical lectures	X		-	no suggestion	

#### 4. Activities/Assessment Methods

Activities/Planned AssessmentMethods		e They mented?	Difficulties Experienced(if	Suggested Action	
received annea resessment vectors	Yes	No	any) <b>in</b> <b>Implementation</b>	buggested rector	
Assignments, exercises and participation throughout the course	X		No difficulties		
Evaluation of the quarterly work by following up on attendance		X			
Assignments		X			
Practical and theoretical test for the first term	Х		No difficulties		
Final practical and theoretical exams	X		No difficulties		

### 5. Verification of Credibility of Students' Results

Method(s) of Verification	Conclusions
Correction of a random sample of students' tests by members	no difficulties
of the training staff in the department	
Random selection of students and measurement of practical	no difficulties
skills	
Compare the results of the trainees and their level of success	no difficulties
compared to other departments	
Periodic review of the course by the Program Planning and	no difficulties
Development Committee	

#### **6.Recommendations**

- Complete review of exam papers before submitting results
- Give homework including research activities to students for topics not covered during lectures.
- Encourage students to expand their knowledge beyond the boundaries of official curriculum

#### C. Student Results

#### 1. Distribution of Grades

- 2. F<5; D= [5, 6[; D+= [6, 8[;
- 3. C= [8, 10[; C+= [10,12[; B= [12,14[;
- 4. B+= [14,16[; A= [16,18[; A+= [18,20]

	Grades										Status Distributions				
	A+	A	В+	В	C+	C	D+	D	F	Denied Entry	In Progress	Incomplete	Pass	Fail	Withdrawn
Number of Students	1	3	0	3	5	1	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0
Percentage	6.2 5 %	18. 75 %	0	18. 75 0%	31. 25 %	6.2 5%	0	0	0	0	100 %	0	0	0	0

#### 2. Comment on Student Results

(including special factors (if any) affecting the results)

#### 3. Recommendations

Divide the trainees into groups, and assign them to do assignments.

- Further strengthening of practical capabilities through diversifying and intensifying training on devices and platforms.
- Encouraging the trainees' self-training processes with attention to practical applications and individual costs.
- Analyzing the results of the trainees' evaluation of the course and using them to improve and develop the course.

## **D.** Course Learning Outcomes

#### 1. Course Learning Outcomes Assessment Results

	Course learning Outcomes	PLOs	Assessment		sment sults	Comment on	
	(CLOs)		Methods	Target Level/ Criterion for Success	Actual Level	Assessment Results	
1	Knowledge and Understand	ling:					
1.1	State and relate basics, principles, and theories related to mathematical tools	k.1	Assignm ents, Quizzes, Exams,	100%	37,50 %	low level results due to significant weakness in previous mathematics-related cours	
2	Skills:	L	l		<del>!</del>	J	
2.2	Use advanced knowledge of the skills to solve engineering problems using mathematical techniques	S.2	Assignm ents, Quizzes, Exams,	80%	25,00 %	low skill level results due to a significant weakness in the level of math knowledge	
3	Values:	<del></del>			,		
3.2	Mange the different problem related to mathematics	V.3	Assignm ents,	100%	43,75 %	low values level results due to a significant weakness	

Course learning Outcomes	PLOs	12550551110110	Assess Res	sment ults	Comment on
(CLOs)	Code		Target Level/ Criterion for Success	Actual Level	Assessment Results
		Quizzes,			in the level of math
		Exams,			knowledge and skills

2.Recommendations			

# **E.** Course Quality Evaluation

## 1. Students Evaluation of the Quality of the Course

Date of Survey:	Number of Participants:		ntage of Evaluation Result:		
StudentsFeedback		Course Coordinator/Instructor Comments/Response			
skills that the course i	plan, including the knowledges designed to develop is interested in the extent to detail the lesson		Further strengthe satisfaction of the	ening the confidence and the trainee	
<ul> <li>Areas for improvement:</li> <li>Further provision of equipment and platforms for this course</li> <li>Develop technical support to support trainees using information technologies</li> </ul>		Raising recommendations to the head of the department			
Suggestions for Impro  • Working to provide n  • Solve problems relate  •	nodern training platforms		Raising recomm the department	endations to the head of	

### 2. Other Evaluations

(e.g., Evaluations byfaculty, program leaders, peer reviewers, others)

Evaluation method :	Date:
Evaluator(s)Comments	Course Coordinator/Instructor Comments/Response
Strengths:	
•	
•	
Areas for improvement:	
•	
•	
C	
Suggestions for Improvement:	
•	

Add separate table for each evaluation		
3.Recommendations :		

F. Difficulties and Challenges

<b>Difficulties and Challenges</b>	Consequences	Actions Taken
Administrative Issues		<u> </u>
No problem	No problem	No problem
No problem	No problem	No problem
<b>Learning Resources</b>		
No problem	No problem	No problem
Facilities		
Training platforms		Raising recommendations to the
		head of the department
Equipment upgrade		Raising recommendations to the
		head of the department
Smart tablets		Raising recommendations to the
		head of the department

# **G.**Course Improvement Plan

# 1. Course ImprovementActions

Recommended Actions	Actions Taken	Results	Comments		
a. Previous course Report Recommendations					
b. Other Improvement Actions*					

Recommended Actions	Actions Taken	Results	Comments

<sup>\* (</sup>The developmental measures taken during teaching the course and not included in the development plan of it)

## 2. Action Plan for Next Semester/Year

		Responsibility	Time		Needed
Recommendations	Actions	For Implementation	Start	End	Support
1. Creating a question bank on the blackboard containing questions for each chapter of the course and making it available to the trainees.	Coordination with the course instructor	Course Coordinator	09/2 022	х	-
2. Conducting open trial semester exams before taking the semester exams and during the semester	Coordination with the course instructor	Course Coordinator	09/2 022	Х	-