| Course Title: | Modeling and performance evaluation |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Code: | CSE543/2 |
| Program: | Master degree In Computer Engineering |
| Department: | Computer Engineering |
| Institution: | ESIP |
| Academic Year: | 2021/2022 |
| Semester: | 05 |
| Course Coordinator: | Mohamed Fadhel SAAD |
| Date: | 26/01/2022 |

Table of Contents

| A. Course Identification | 3 |
|---|----------------------------|
| B. Course Delivery | 3 |
| 1. Course Contact Hours (per semester) | 3 |
| 2. Topics not Covered | 3 |
| 3. Teaching Strategies | 3 |
| 4. Activities/Assessment Methods | 3 |
| 5. Verification of Credibility of Students' Results | 4 |
| 6. Recommendations | 4 |
| C. Student Results | 4 |
| 1. Distribution of Grades | 5 |
| 2. Comment on Student Results | 5 |
| 3.Recommendations | 5 |
| D. Course Learning Outcomes | 5 |
| 1. Course Learning Outcomes Assessment Results | 5 |
| 2. Recommendations | 6 |
| E. Course Quality Evaluation | 6 |
| 1. Students Evaluation of the Quality of the Course | 6 |
| 2. Other Evaluations | Erreur! Signet non défini. |
| 3.Recommendations: | Erreur! Signet non défini. |
| F. Difficulties and Challenges | 7 |
| G. Course Improvement Plan | 7 |
| 1. Course Improvement Actions | 7 |
| 2. Action Plan for Next Semester/Year | 8 |

A. Course Identification

| | | | Number of | Number of Students | | | | |
|--|----|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|--|--|
| | No | Instructor(s) | Instructor(s) Location Section | | Starting the | Completing | | |
| | | | | Sections | course | the course | | |
| | 01 | M. Mounir Telli | ESIP | SALLE II3+ Lab02 | 13/09/2021 | 08/01/2022 | | |

B. Course Delivery

1. Course Contact Hours (per semester)

| No. | Activity | Planned | Actual |
|-----|-------------------|---------|--------|
| 1 | Lecture | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| 2 | Laboratory/Studio | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| 3 | Tutorial | | |
| 4 | Others(Specify) | | |
| | Total | 30 | 30 |

2. Topics not Covered

| Topics | Reason for Not Covering | Extent of their Impact on Learning Outcomes | Compensating Action* |
|--------|----------------------------|---|----------------------|
| ANY | ANY | ANY | ANY |

^{*}Compensating actions already taken or suggested

3. Teaching Strategies

| Planned Teaching Strategies | | e They mented? | Difficulties Experienced (if any) | Suggested Action |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| | Yes No | | in Implementation | |
| | | | A little slow in | - |
| lecturing | | | understanding | |
| | | | the lesson | |
| laboratory | X | | _ | - |
| Class discussions | X | | - | - |
| Assignments | X | | - | |
| Theoretical lectures | | X | - | - |

4. Activities/Assessment Methods

| Activities/Planned AssessmentMethods | | e They mented? | Difficulties Experienced(if | Suggested Action |
|--|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | | No | any) in Implementation | |
| Assignments, exercises and participation throughout the course exams | X | | No difficulties | -Ask students to reflect - Practice frequency |

| Activities/Planned AssessmentMethods | | e They mented? | Difficulties Experienced(if | Suggested Action |
|--|-----|-------------------|---|------------------|
| Activities/1 familier /Assessmentivetrious | Yes | No | any) in Implementation | Suggested Netion |
| Evaluation of the quarterly work by following up on attendance | X | | No difficulties | |
| Report | | X | No difficulties | |
| Assignments | X | | | |
| Practical and theoretical test for the first term | х | | No difficulties | |
| Final practical and theoretical | X | | No difficulties | |

5. Verification of Credibility of Students' Results

| Method(s) of Verification | Conclusions |
|--|-----------------|
| Correction of a random sample of students' tests by members | no difficulties |
| of the training staff in the department | |
| Random selection of students and measurement of practical | no difficulties |
| skills | |
| Compare the results of the trainees and their level of success | no difficulties |
| compared to other departments | |
| Periodic review of the course by the Program Planning and | no difficulties |
| Development Committee | |

| 6.Recommendations | | |
|-------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

C. Student Results

| Achievement Grades (65% * outcome weight) | | 0 | 4,55 | 3,9 | 0 | 4,55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|---|----------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Students | No. | 7 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| achieved | Percentage (%) | 100,00% | 57,14% | 71,43% | 100,00% | 28,57% | 100,00% | 100,00% | 100,00% | 100,00% | 100,00% | 100,00% |
| Students | No. | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| not achieved | Percentage (%) | 0,00% | 42,86% | 28,57% | 0,00% | 71,43% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% |

1. Distribution of Grades

| | | Grades | | | | | | | | | Status Distributions | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|------------|------|------|-----------|
| | A+ >=1 8 | A [16, 18[| B+ [14, 16[| B [12, 14[| C+ [10, 12[| C [8,1 0[| D+ [6,8 [| D [5, 6[| F < 5 | Denied Entry | In Progress | Incomplete | Pass | Fail | Withdrawn |
| Number of Students | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage | 0 | 14.2 8 | 0 | 71. 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14. 28 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. Comment on Student Results

The results in its entirety are unacceptable.

The weak levels of some trainees in some of the previous courses affected relatively many of them obtaining the degree of excellence

3. Recommendations

Divide the trainees into groups and assign them to do assignments.

- Further strengthening of practical capabilities through diversifying and intensifying training on devices and platforms.
- Encouraging the trainees' self-training processes with attention to practical applications and individual costs.
- Analyzing the results of the trainees' evaluation of the course and using them to improve and develop the course.

D. Course Learning Outcomes

1. Course Learning Outcomes Assessment Results

| Course learning Outcomes (CLOs) | | PLOs Code | Assessment Methods | Assessment Results | | Comment on | |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------|---|--|-----------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | Target Level/ Criterion for Success | Actual Level | Assessment Results | |
| 1 | Knowledge and Understand | ling: | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Modeling - Simple models based on Markov chains - More complex Queues type models Areas of application modeled in all parts of the course - Everyday life - Networks - Informatic Systems | K.2 | Assignm ents, Quizzes , Exams, | 80% | 57,14 % | There are no difficulties | |

| Course learning Outcomes | | PLOs | Assessment | Assessment Results | | Comment on | | |
|--------------------------|--------|---|--------------|---|--|-----------------|---|--|
| | (CLOs) | | Code Methods | | Target Level/ Criterion for Success | Actual Level | Assessment Results | |
| | | - Production systems | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 | Analysis: - Markov chains - Simple queues - Product-form queuing networks Discrete event simulation | K.3 | Assignm ents, Quizzes , Exams, | 85% | 71,43 % | There are no difficulties | |
| İ | 2 | Skills: | | l | ı | | | |
| | 2.1 | Performance evaluation methods, Skills in the field of performance evaluation of different system architecture and sizing options: throughput, response time, quality of service, traffic and congestion control, resource utilization rate, availability, location of bottlenecks, | S.2 | Assignm ents, Quizzes , Exams, | 60% | 28,57 | Difficulties related to students' abilities in some courses | |

2.Recommendations

- -Coordination with the trainers of the requirements courses to enhance the knowledge and capabilities of the trainees
- Encouraging the trainees' self-training processes with attention to practical applications and individual costs.

E. Course Quality Evaluation

1. Students Evaluation of the Quality of the Course

| Date of Survey: | Number of Participants: | | tage of pation: | Evaluation Result: | |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| StudentsFeedback | | | Course Coordinator/Instructor Comments/Response | | |
| Strengths: | | Further strengthening the confidence | | | |
| • Clarity of the cours | se plan, including the kno | and satisfaction of the trainee | | | |
| and skills that the course is designed to develop | | | | | |
| • The course instructe | or is interested in the exte | nt to | | | |
| which the trainees u | inderstand the lesson | | | | |
| Areas for improveme | nt: | | | | |
| • Further provision of equipment and platforms for | | | Raising recommendations to the head | | |
| this course | | | of the department | | |

| • Develop technical support to support trainees using information technologies | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Suggestions for Improvement: | |
| Working to provide modern training platforms | Raising recommendations to the head |
| Solve problems related to Blackboard | of the department |

F. Difficulties and Challenges

| Difficulties and Challenges | Consequences | Actions Taken | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Administrative Issues | | | | | | | |
| No problem | No problem | No problem | | | | | |
| Learning Resources | Learning Resources | | | | | | |
| No problem | No problem | No problem | | | | | |
| Facilities | | | | | | | |
| Training platforms | | Raising recommendations to | | | | | |
| | | the head of the department | | | | | |
| Equipment upgrade | | Raising recommendations to | | | | | |
| | | the head of the department | | | | | |
| Smart tablets | | Raising recommendations to | | | | | |
| | | the head of the department | | | | | |

G.Course Improvement Plan

1. Course ImprovementActions

| Recommended Actions | Actions Taken | Results | Comments | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| a. Previous course Report Recommendations | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| b. Other Improvemen | b. Other Improvement Actions* | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

^{* (}The developmental measures taken during teaching the course and not included in the development plan of it)

2. Action Plan for Next Semester/Year

| - | | Responsibility | Time | | Needed |
|--|---|-----------------------|-------|-----|---------|
| Recommendations | Actions | For Implementation | Start | End | Support |
| 1. Creating a question bank on the blackboard containing questions for each chapter of the course and making it available to the trainees. | Coordination with the course instructor | Course Coordinator | Х | Х | |
| 2. Conducting open trial semester exams before taking the semester exams and during the semester | Coordination with the course instructor | Course Coordinator | X | Х | |